1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jeremy I. Lessem, Esq (SBN 213406) Jamal L. Tooson, Esq (SBN 261373) Lessem, Newstat & Tooson, LLP 3450 Cahuenga Blvd W. Ste. 102 Los Angeles, CA 90068 Phone 818-582-3087 Fax 818-484-3087 Jeremy@LnLegal.com JTooson@LnLegal.com Attorney for Plaintiffs UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORI DEW, G.V., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, MARYANNE GOULD, W.V., a minor, B.V., a minor, L.V., a minor, T.C., a minor, by and through their guardian ad litem, MARILYN CORPUS, in each case both individually and as successors-in-interest to the ESTATE OF BRANDON VIRTUE, Deceased,	Case No.: 4:19-CV-06009 HSG ORDER TO EXTEND TIME LIMIT FOR SERVICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m)
19 20	Plaintiffs,	
21 22 23 24 25 26	CITY OF SEASIDE, CHIEF ABDUL PRIDGEN, MANUEL FERNANDEZ and DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE, Defendants	
27		

28

ORDER TO EXTEND TIME LIMIT FOR SERVICE

PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(m):

Plaintiff filed an ex parte application for an order extending time for an additional 90 days to serve the summons and complaint upon Defendant Manuel Fernandez. Good cause appearing, the court GRANTS an extension of time to serve the summons and complaint on Defendant until March 25, 2019.

I. Background

Plaintiffs filed the instant action on September 24, 2019. The matter was assigned to this above entitled court on December 11, 2019. The summons was issued on December 13, 2019. On December 19, Plaintiffs made diligent efforts to serve Defendant Fernandez at his last known work address at the Seaside Police Department. The Seaside Police Department declined to provide a forwarding address.

II. Analysis

Plaintiffs are normally allotted 90 days to serve defendants after a complaint is filed. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 4(m). However, if a Plaintiff shows good cause for failure to serve within the 90-day period, the court is required to extend time for service. *Id.* Under the same rule, the court has broad discretion to extend time for service in absence of good cause. *Efaw v. Williams*, 473 F.3d 1038, 1041 (9th Cir. 2003).

ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR SERVICE PURSUANT TO FRCP 4(M)

25

26

27

28

On December 19, Plaintiffs made diligent efforts to serve Defendant Fernandez at his last known work address. Plaintiffs were not informed that Defendant Fernandez was no longer working as an officer at the Seaside Police Department prior to service, and the Seaside Police Department declined to provide a forwarding address. Plaintiff contends that Officer Fernandez' address will be provided in initial disclosures, interrogatories, or informal discovery within answers to interrogatories. Plaintiffs further contend that independent investigation will be unsuccessful due to common spelling of Officer Fernandez' name.

Under these circumstances, Plaintiffs have demonstrated good cause to extend time for service. The court will allow an extension to effect service.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs' motion to extend time for service of the summons and complaint is GRANTED. Plaintiffs may serve Defendant Fernandez with the summons and complaint and file proof of service with the court no later than March 25, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: 12/20/2019

Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. United States District Judge